

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/629,094	07/29/2003	Brian P. Giffin	14558.01	6379	
7590 09/01/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
David N. Fronek			VALENZA, JOSEPH E		
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP Intellectual Property Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
50 South Street, Suite 1500 Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498			3651		
			DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/629,094	GIFFIN, BRIAN P.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
7	Joseph Valenza	3651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· _ · · _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	·—					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an arrangement are also as a second or		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

## Art Unit: 3651

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frank.

The first conveyor is conveyor 273 and the second conveyor is element 25. Whether there is a gluer and / or folder downstream is immaterial to the operation of the claimed system. The article being conveyed in Frank is considered to be the functional equivalent to the claimed blank. With regard to claim 7, the design of the detector is immaterial to the operation of the system, therefore, the detector 38 of Frank is functionally equivalent.

2. Claims 8 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frank in view of Long.

It would have been obvious to modify the second conveyor 25 of Frank to have a nip like downstream conveyor 18A of Long for handling blanks.

3. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frank in view of Cai et al.

It would have been obvious to add the teaching in Cai et al of calculating the period of speed change based on the length of the blank as claimed or current velocity adjusted for lag due to known acceleration/deceleration curves. For a short conveyor, the article length can be important. For a reasonable length (selected for the maximum length article) conveyor, the lag in acceleration/deceleration curves of the variable speed drive is the main concern.

4. Claims 9-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 for being indefinite. Application/Control Number: 10/629,094

Art Unit: 3651

Page 3

The preambles of the claims refer to a folder or folding and a gluer or gluing. However, the bodies of the claims fail to require these features. The preambles must be amended to remove these features so that the preambles will agree with the bodies of the claims. Should applicant add these features to the bodies of the claims, the amended claims will be directed to a new combination and withdrawn from consideration.

- 5. Fisk is pertinent.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph E. Valenza at telephone number (703) 308-2577. Amendments may be faxed to (703) 872-9306. My normal work week is Monday through Thursday.

JOSEPH E. VALENZA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joseph Valenza